

HANDLE VIA TALENT
CONTROL ROOM ONLY

Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP61S00750A000200070050-6

TCS-1445-57
Copy 20 of 20

14 May 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Project Director

SUBJECT: Test Photo Coverage in the United States

1. In pursuance of a request from [REDACTED] representatives of the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee met this morning to discuss what targets in the United States may be usefully photographed with various camera configurations in connection with normal operations testing programs.

25X1A

2. The Ad Hoc Requirements Committee recommends that Operations select those targets from the list below which are most feasible from the operations point of view, keeping in mind that it would be most useful if each target could be photographed with both A-2 or B configuration and the C configuration:

- a. Phoenix, Arizona - B
- b. Dugway Proving Ground, Utah
- c. Kirkland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico AL
- d. Fort Bliss, El Paso, Texas
- e. Biggs Air Force Base, El Paso, Texas } A-2 + B
- f. Fort Lewis, Washington
- g. Point Mugu, California
- h. White Sands, New Mexico
- i. Edwards Air Force Base, California
- j. US Naval Ordnance Test Station, Inglewood, California
- k. Rocky Flats, Colorado
- l. San Diego, California
- m. San Francisco, California
- n. Bremerton, Washington
- o. Castle Air Force Base, California
- p. Hanford, Washington

SECRETHANDLE VIA TALENT
CONTROL SYSTEM ONLY

- 2 -

TCS-1445-57

- q. Fort Ord, California
- r. Camp Roberts, California

3. The Ad Hoc Requirements Committee understands that there may already be A-2 and/or B photography over some of these targets. By copy of this memorandum, I am requesting HTAUTOMAT to examine training film in its possession to let the members of the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee and Operations know which have been covered by these configurations. Naturally, where coverage already exists no new coverage would be required with the specific configuration already used. It is our understanding that testing of the C configuration may not begin immediately, and I am asking the members of the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee to provide any advice with regard to specific points identified by virtue of our previous photography to be the object of the C configuration.

4. It may well be that in the case of certain of these targets it will be necessary to secure permission for overflight from the responsible United States agency. The Ad Hoc Requirements Committee, while deferring to the judgment of Operations, wishes to state that it does not consider the coverage of these targets to be of such importance as to require seeking of such permission. At least, none of the members of the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee expect to take the initiative for such permission.

5. It was explained to the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee by the Operations representative, [REDACTED] that training flights over friendly territory in the theatres would be undertaken shortly, and the offer was made to provide such target coverage by means of the C configuration as the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee members might require. No member of the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee does require such coverage inasmuch as photographic coverage is either already available or could be obtained by other means if desired,

25X1A

25X1A

JAMES Q. REBER

Chairman

Ad Hoc Requirements Committee

- | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1-Acting Project Director | 2-Project Director | 3-OACSI |
| 4-ONI | 5-AFOIN | 6-NSA |
| 7-State | 8-DD/I(P) | 9-OCI |
| 10-OSI | 11-OSI ESO | 12-ORR |
| 13-IO | 14-Chief/HTA | 15-TSO |
| 16-PSO | 17-[REDACTED] | 18-[REDACTED] |
| 19-file | 20-TCS chrono | |

25X1A

25X1A

SECRET

CONTROL SYSTEM ONLY